

Instructor: B FUNT  
 Course: CMPT 102  
 Semester: 13-1

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

BACKGROUND	Frequency Distribution					Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.		
	Weight:	4	3	2	1				0	
1. What is your cumulative grade point average? 1) 3.5 or over 2) 3.0 to 3.49 3) 2.5 to 2.99 4) 2.0 to 2.49 5) below 2.0		3 25%	4 33%	4 33%	1 8%	0 0%	3.12	12	6	
2. Why did you take this course? 1) It was compulsory 2) I am interested in the course 3) No alternative course available 4) It looked like an easy credit 5) Other reasons		7 58%	3 25%	1 8%	0 0%	1 8%		12	6	
GENERAL										
3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars?	always	12 67%	3 17%	2 11%	0 0%	1 6%	hardly ever	3.39	18	0
4. The course prerequisites were	essential	4 25%	3 19%	3 19%	2 13%	4 25%	not essential	2.06	16	2
5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was	too easy	2 12%	1 6%	10 59%	3 18%	1 6%	too difficult	2.00	17	1
6. The amount of work required for the course was	too little	0 0%	3 17%	13 72%	2 11%	0 0%	too much	2.06	18	0
7. How valuable was the course content?	very	8 44%	6 33%	3 17%	1 6%	0 0%	not very	3.17	18	0
8. The course text or supplementary material was	relevant	4 22%	5 28%	3 17%	5 28%	1 6%	irrelevant	2.33	18	0
	A	B	C	D	F					
9. I would rate this course as		9 50%	5 28%	3 17%	1 6%	0 0%		3.22	18	0

Instructor: B FUNT  
 Course: CMPT 102  
 Semester: 13-1

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

COURSE GRADING	Frequency Distribution					Valid Responses	Mean	No Resp.		
	Weight:	4	3	2	1				0	
10. The assignments and lecture/seminar were	well related	12 67%	6 33%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	unrelated	3.67	18	0
11. The exams and assignments were on the whole	fair	11 61%	4 22%	1 6%	2 11%	0 0%	unfair	3.33	18	0
12. The marking scheme was on the whole	fair	9 50%	5 28%	3 17%	1 6%	0 0%	unfair	3.22	18	0
INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS										
13. How informative were the lectures/seminars?	informative	9 50%	8 44%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	uninformative	3.44	18	0
14. The instructor's organization and preparation were	excellent	8 44%	9 50%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	3.39	18	0
15. The instructor's ability to communicate material was	excellent	9 50%	8 44%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	3.44	18	0
16. The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be	high	12 67%	5 28%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	low	3.61	18	0
17. The instructor's feedback on my work was	adequate	7 39%	3 17%	7 39%	1 6%	0 0%	inadequate	2.89	18	0
18. Questions during class were	encouraged	15 83%	2 11%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	discouraged	3.78	18	0
19. Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help?	available	13 72%	4 22%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	never available	3.67	18	0
20. Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints?	very	11 61%	6 33%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	not at all	3.56	18	0
21. Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was	excellent	14 78%	3 17%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	3.72	18	0
	A	B	C	D	F					
22. I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as		11 61%	6 33%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%		3.56	18	0

