### COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

#### BACKGROUND

1. **What is your cumulative grade point average?**
   - 3.5 or over: 6, 75%
   - 3.0 to 3.49: 2, 25%
   - 2.5 to 2.99: 0, 0%
   - 2.0 to 2.49: 0, 0%
   - Below 2.0: 0, 0%
   - **Mean**: 3.62, 8 responses

2. **Why did you take this course?**
   - It was compulsory: 0, 0%
   - I am interested in the course: 8, 100%
   - No alternative course available: 0, 0%
   - It looked like an easy credit: 0, 0%
   - Other reasons: 0, 0%
   - **Mean**: 0, 8 responses

#### GENERAL

3. **How often did you attend the lectures/seminars?**
   - Always: 9, 100%
   - 90%: 0, 0%
   - 80%: 0, 0%
   - 70%: 0, 0%
   - Hardly ever: 0, 0%
   - **Mean**: 4.00, 9 responses

4. **The course prerequisites were**
   - Essential: 3, 38%
   - Not essential: 2, 13%
   - 1, 13%
   - **Mean**: 2.62, 8 responses

5. **The overall level of difficulty for the course was**
   - Too easy: 0, 0%
   - 0.5: 0, 0%
   - 0.4: 0, 0%
   - **Mean**: 1.56, 9 responses

6. **The amount of work required for the course was**
   - Too little: 0, 0%
   - 0.1: 0, 0%
   - 0.0: 0, 0%
   - **Mean**: 1.76, 9 responses

7. **How valuable was the course content?**
   - Very: 2, 22%
   - 0.3: 22%
   - 0.2: 22%
   - Not very: 0, 0%
   - **Mean**: 2.56, 9 responses

8. **The course text or supplementary material was**
   - Relevant: 8, 100%
   - 0, 0%
   - **Mean**: 4.00, 8 responses

9. **I would rate this course as**
   - A: 7, 78%
   - B: 2, 22%
   - C: 0, 0%
   - D: 0, 0%
   - F: 0, 0%
   - **Mean**: 3.78, 9 responses
**Instructor:** B FUNT  
**Course:** CMPT 822  
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### COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

#### COURSE GRADING

10. The assignments and lecture/seminar were well related 8 0 0 0 0 unrelated 0%
   100% 0% 0% 0% 0%

11. The exams and assignments were on the whole fair 7 1 0 0 0 unfair 88%
   13% 0% 0% 0%

12. The marking scheme was on the whole fair 5 2 1 0 0 unfair 63%
   25% 13% 0% 0%

### INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS

13. How informative were the lectures/seminars? informative 6 3 0 0 0 uninformative 67%
   33% 0% 0% 0%

14. The instructor's organization and preparation were excellent 7 1 1 0 0 poor 78%
   11% 11% 0% 0%

15. The instructor's ability to communicate material was excellent 8 1 0 0 0 poor 89%
   11% 0% 0% 0%

16. The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be high 9 0 0 0 0 low 100%
   0% 0% 0% 0%

17. The instructor's feedback on my work was adequate 7 1 1 0 0 inadequate 78%
   11% 11% 0% 0%

18. Questions during class were encouraged 9 0 0 0 0 discouraged 100%
   0% 0% 0% 0%

19. Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help? available 8 1 0 0 0 never available 89%
   11% 0% 0% 0%

20. Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints? very 8 0 1 0 0 not at all 89%
   0% 11% 0% 0%

21. Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was excellent 9 0 0 0 0 poor 100%
   0% 0% 0% 0%

22. I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as 9 0 0 0 0 100% 0% 0% 0% 0%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Frequency Distribution</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Valid Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>well related</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>fair</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>fair</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>adequate</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>encouraged</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>available</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>never available</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>not at all</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A B C D F
1. What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the instructor, as a teacher?

- Prof is willing to hear what the students think. This is entirely new in SFU! I really liked that.
- It's better to provide more vivid examples to explain the content of the paper we are reading because not all the students take this course are familiar with the colon field.
- He is very nice professor and made us a fun class.
- I personally got a lot out of this kind of discussion about papers/ideas.
- I would have liked more feedback regarding our grade during the semester. At the time of this review all I know is that I've been told to not worry about it. However, I do worry about it.

2. What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the course?

- The papers could be more organized and have a reasonable trend. For example, every few sessions could be assigned to a particular subject.
- I wonder if some student got as much out of the discussion as others did. IE: I think some students just wanted to be taught rather than discuss.
- A great instructor and a good course nevertheless.