**Instructor:** B FUNT  
**Course:** CMPT 822  
**Semester:** 13-3

### COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency Distribution</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight:</strong> 4 3 2 1 0</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### BACKGROUND

1. What is your cumulative grade point average?
   - 3.5 or over: 4 2 0 0 0  (67% 33% 0% 0% 0%)
   - 3.0 to 3.49: 0 5 0 0 2  (0% 71% 0% 0% 29%)

2. Why did you take this course?
   1) It was compulsory  
   2) I am interested in the course  
   3) No alternative course available  
   4) It looked like an easy credit  
   5) Other reasons

#### GENERAL

3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars?
   - always 8 0 0 0 0  (100% 0% 0% 0% 0%)

4. The course prerequisites were
   - essential 2 1 1 2 0  (33% 17% 17% 33% 0%)

5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was
   - too easy 0 0 7 1 0  (0% 0% 86% 13% 0%)

6. The amount of work required for the course was
   - too little 0 0 5 3 0  (0% 0% 63% 18% 0%)

7. How valuable was the course content?
   - very 4 3 0 0 0  (57% 43% 0% 0% 0%)

8. The course text or supplementary material was
   - relevant 5 0 1 0 0  (83% 0% 17% 0% 0%)

9. I would rate this course as
   - 0 7 1 0 0 0  (0% 7% 13% 0% 0% 0%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency Distribution</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Unrelated</th>
<th>Informative</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Inadequate</th>
<th>Discouraged</th>
<th>Never Available</th>
<th>Not at All</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>well related</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>unrelated</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fair</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>unfair</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fair</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>unfair</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>informative</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>uninformative</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adequate</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>inadequate</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>encouraged</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>discouraged</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>available</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>never available</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>not at all</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS

13. How informative were the lectures/seminars?
14. The instructor's organization and preparation were
15. The instructor's ability to communicate material was
16. The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be
17. The instructor's feedback on my work was
18. Questions during class were
19. Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help?
20. Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints?
21. Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was
22. I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as
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1. What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the instructor, as a teacher?
   - Strongest – can explain complex topics simply
   - Strongest – the interesting questions make the course funny
   - Weakest – more survey papers will be better to make students know the contents and history in colour
   - I would have preferred it instead of reading papers every week we watched videos
   - Strongest feature of the course – many communications – no exams
   - Strongest – excellent attitude towards students

2. What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the course?
   - Strongest – does not have exam
   - Weakest – marking scheme is a bit unclear

3. Any other comments or suggestions?