

Instructor: B FUNT  
 Course: CMPT 822  
 Semester: 13-3

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

BACKGROUND

1. What is your cumulative grade point average?

- 1) 3.5 or over
- 2) 3.0 to 3.49
- 3) 2.5 to 2.99
- 4) 2.0 to 2.49
- 5) below 2.0

2. Why did you take this course?

- 1) It was compulsory
- 2) I am interested in the course
- 3) No alternative course available
- 4) It looked like an easy credit
- 5) Other reasons

GENERAL

3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars?

always 8 0 0 0 0 hardly ever

100% 0% 0% 0% 0%

4.00 8 0

4. The course prerequisites were

essential 2 1 1 2 0 not essential

33% 17% 17% 33% 0%

2.50 6 2

5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was

too easy 0 0 7 1 0 too difficult

0% 0% 88% 13% 0%

1.88 8 0

6. The amount of work required for the course was

too little 0 0 5 3 0 too much

0% 0% 63% 38% 0%

1.62 8 0

7. How valuable was the course content?

very 4 3 0 0 0 not very

57% 43% 0% 0% 0%

3.57 7 1

8. The course text or supplementary material was

relevant 5 0 1 0 0 irrelevant

83% 0% 17% 0% 0%

3.67 6 2

A B C D F

9. I would rate this course as

7 1 0 0 0  
 88% 13% 0% 0% 0%

3.88 8 0

Frequency Distribution  
 Weight: 4 3 2 1 0  
 Mean Valid No Responses Resp.

Instructor: B FUNT		COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION									
Course: CMPT 822											
Semester: 13-3											
		Frequency Distribution									
		Weight:	4	3	2	1	0				
								Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.	
COURSE GRADING											
10.	The assignments and lecture/seminar were	well related	6 86%	1 14%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	unrelated	3.86	7	1
11.	The exams and assignments were on the whole	fair	6 86%	1 14%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	unfair	3.86	7	1
12.	The marking scheme was on the whole	fair	7 88%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	unfair	3.88	8	0
INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS											
13.	How informative were the lectures/seminars?	informative	7 88%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	uninformative	3.88	8	0
14.	The instructor's organization and preparation were	excellent	6 75%	2 25%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	3.75	8	0
15.	The instructor's ability to communicate material was	excellent	7 88%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	3.88	8	0
16.	The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be	high	8 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	low	4.00	8	0
17.	The instructor's feedback on my work was	adequate	6 75%	2 25%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	inadequate	3.75	8	0
18.	Questions during class were	encouraged	8 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	discouraged	4.00	8	0
19.	Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help?	available	6 86%	1 14%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	never available	3.86	7	1
20.	Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints?	very	6 86%	1 14%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	not at all	3.86	7	1
21.	Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was	excellent	8 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	4.00	8	0
		A	B	C	D	F					
22.	I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as		7 88%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%		3.88	8	0

**Simon Fraser University**  
**School of Computing Science – Burnaby Campus**

**Instructor Evaluation**

**Instructor's Name: Brian Funt**

**Course: CMPT 822 – Fall Semester (1137)**

- 1. What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the instructor, as a teacher?**
  - Strongest – can explain complex topics simply
  - Strongest – the interesting questions make the course funny
  - Weakest – more survey papers will be better to make students know the contents and history in colour
  - I would have preferred it instead of reading papers every week we watched videos
  - Strongest feature of the course – many communications – no exams
  - Strongest – excellent attitude towards students
  
- 2. What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the course?**
  - Strongest – does not have exam
  - Weakest – marking scheme is a bit unclear
  
- 3. Any other comments or suggestions?**