A (hopefully brief) Intro to Unit Testing

Nick Sumner
with material from the GoogleTest documentation
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• The simplest of these is *Unit Testing*
  – Testing the smallest possible fragments of a program
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- **Not testing how well things are glued together.**

Why? How is this beneficial?
Unit Tests
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• A dual view:
  – They specify the expected behavior of individual components
  – An executable specification

• Can even be built first & used to guide development
  – Usually called Test Driven Development
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- Usually managed by some automating framework ....
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`EXPECT` and `ASSERT` macros provide correctness oracles.
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- Increasingly used framework for C++
  - Not dissimilar from JUnit
- Test cases are written as functions:

```cpp
TEST(TriangleTest, isEquilateral) {
    Triangle tri{2,2,2};
    EXPECT_TRUE(tri.isEquilateral());
}
```

**ASSERT** oracles terminate the program when they fail.  
**EXPECT** oracles allow the program to continue running.
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- Increasingly used framework for C++
  - Not dissimilar from JUnit
- Test cases are written as functions.
- `TEST()` cases are automatically registered with GoogleTest and are executed by the test driver.
- Some tests require common `setUp` & `tearDown`
  - Group them into `test fixtures`
  - A fresh fixture is created for each test
class StackTest : public ::testing::Test {
protected:
  void SetUp() override {
    s1.push(1);
    s2.push(2);
    s2.push(3);
  }

  void TearDown() override { }

Stack<int> s1;
Stack<int> s2;
};
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TearDown() will be called after all tests using the fixture
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Behaves like

```cpp
{
    StackTest t;
    t.SetUp();
    t.popOfOneIsEmpty();
    t.TearDown();
}
```
Use the fixture in test cases defined with `TEST_F`:

```cpp
TEST_F(StackTest, popOfOneIsEmpty) {
    s1.pop();
    EXPECT_EQ(0, s1.size());
}
```

A different expectation than before!
GoogleTest - Fixtures

Use the fixture in test cases defined with \texttt{TEST\_F}:

\begin{verbatim}
TEST_F(StackTest, popOfOneIsEmpty) {
    s1.pop();
    EXPECT_EQ(0, s1.size());
}
\end{verbatim}

expected value
Use the fixture in test cases defined with `TEST_F`:

```cpp
TEST_F(StackTest, popOfOneIsEmpty) {
    s1.pop();
    EXPECT_EQ(0, s1.size());
}
```
**GoogleTest**

- Increasingly used framework for C++
  - Not dissimilar from JUnit, which you have already seen.
- Test cases are written as functions.
- `TEST()` cases are automatically registered with GoogleTest and are executed by the test driver.
- Some tests require common `setUp` & `tearDown`
- **Many different assertions and expectations available**

```cpp
ASSERT_TRUE(condition);
ASSERT_FALSE(condition);
ASSERT_EQ(expected, actual);
ASSERT_NE(val1, val2);
ASSERT_LT(val1, val2);
ASSERT_LE(val1, val2);
ASSERT_GT(val1, val2);
ASSERT_GE(val1, val2);

EXPECT_TRUE(condition);
EXPECT_FALSE(condition);
EXPECT_EQ(expected, actual);
EXPECT_NE(val1, val2);
EXPECT_LT(val1, val2);
EXPECT_LE(val1, val2);
EXPECT_GT(val1, val2);
EXPECT_GE(val1, val2);

...```
GoogleTest

- Increasingly used framework for C++
  - Not dissimilar from JUnit, which you have already seen.
- Test cases are written as functions.
- `TEST()` cases are automatically registered with GoogleTest and are executed by the test driver.
- Some tests require common `setUp & tearDown`
- Many different assertions and expectations available
- More information available online
  - [github.com/google/googletest/blob/master/googletest/docs/Primer.md](https://github.com/google/googletest/blob/master/googletest/docs/Primer.md)
  - [github.com/google/googletest/blob/master/googletest/docs/AdvancedGuide.md](https://github.com/google/googletest/blob/master/googletest/docs/AdvancedGuide.md)
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- Checking State
  - Final State
    - Prepare initial state
    - Run test
    - Check final state
  - Pre and Post conditions
    - Check initial state as well as final state
  - Relative effects
    - Check final state relative to some initial state
  - Round trips
    - Check behavior on transform/inverse transform pairs
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How can we test `walkAroundSquare()`?

Intended

Actual...
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- Checking Interactions/Behavior
  - Use *mocks*
    - Testing 'fakes' that verify expected interactions
      e.g. a fake `Person` that looks for correct steps & turns

```cpp
class MockPerson : public Person {
    // Override methods to check for
    // expected behavior.
};
```
Common Patterns (Ammonn & Offutt)

- Checking Interactions/Behavior
  - Use *mocks*
    - Testing 'fakes' that verify expected interactions
e.g. a fake `Person` that looks for correct steps & turns

  - [http://martinfowler.com/articles/mocksArentStubs.html](http://martinfowler.com/articles/mocksArentStubs.html)
  - [http://googletesting.blogspot.ca/2013/03/testing-on-toilet-testing-state-vs.html](http://googletesting.blogspot.ca/2013/03/testing-on-toilet-testing-state-vs.html)
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Mocking Framework Example

- Frameworks exist that can automate the boilerplate behind:
  - Mocking
    - e.g. GoogleMock, Mockito, etc.
  - Dependency Injection
    e.g. Google Guice, Pico Container, etc.
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• Steps:
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```cpp
class MockThing : public Thing {
public:
  ...
  MOCK_METHOD1(foo, int(int));
  MOCK_METHOD1(bar, void(int));
};
```
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- **Steps:**
  1) Derive a mock class from the class you wish to fake
  2) Replace virtual calls with uses of `MOCK_METHODn()` or `MOCK_CONST_METHODn()`.
  3) Use the mock class in your tests.
  4) Specify expectations before use via `EXPECT_CALL()`.
     - What arguments? How many times? In what order?
  5) Expectations are automatically checked in the destructor of the mock.
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```cpp
InSequence dummy;
EXPECT_CALL(mockThing, foo(Ge(20)))
  .Times(2) // Can be omitted here
  .WillOnce(Return(100))
  .WillOnce(Return(200));
EXPECT_CALL(mockThing, bar(Lt(5)));
```

Complex behaviors can be checked using these basic pieces.
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Common Guidelines

- Have your unit tests mirror/shadow your source
  - Foo.cpp → test/FooTest.cpp

- Keep each test case focused

- Try to test all conditions & lines
  - Much more on this in CMPT 473
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- Testing small components *bootstraps confidence* in the system on confidence in its constituents.
- Tests can verify *state* or *behaviors*.

And this only scratches the surface.